

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION WOMEN PHYSICIANS SECTION

Resolution XXX
(I-24)

Introduced by: Priya Gupta, Aaron Kiel, Christian Tallo, Jessica MacIntyre, Ariella Wagner

Subject: Improving the Identification of Intimate Partner Violence (IPV) in People with Disabilities

Referred to: Reference Committee (Assigned by HOD)

1 Whereas, intimate partner violence (IPV) is defined as abuse or aggression by an intimate
2 partner, including physical violence, sexual violence, psychological aggression, emotional
3 abuse, and stalking^{1,2}; and

4
5 Whereas, it has been estimated that up to 54-80% of individuals with disabilities experience
6 some form of IPV in their lifetime, resulting in nearly double the lifetime risk of IPV compared to
7 the general population^{2,3,4}; and

8
9 Whereas, despite professional organizations recommending routine IPV screening, only 15% of
10 women with disabilities reported being asked by healthcare providers if they have experienced
11 IPV⁴; and

12
13 Whereas, physician implicit bias leads to people with disabilities receiving inadequate
14 counseling and screening for concerns related to sexual health, which may be one contributor to
15 the lack of IPV screening in this population⁵; and

16
17 Whereas, in addition to the traditional manifestations of IPV, people with disabilities may
18 experience different forms of IPV than people without disabilities, such as having their adaptive
19 equipment withheld or damaged, which may be a reason IPV is not always identified by
20 standard screening tools in this population^{6,7}; and

21
22 Whereas, standard IPV screening tools are only 80% as accurate at identifying IPV in people
23 with physical disabilities as disability-specific IPV screening tools, such as the Abuse
24 Assessment Screen-Disability (AAS-D), contributing to the lack of identification of IPV in this
25 population⁸; and

26
27 Whereas, the AAS-D screening tool has not yet been validated, limiting its ability to be used in
28 clinical practice⁸; and

29
30 Whereas, it has been suggested that IPV screening tools that include disability-specific
31 questions written in languages that can be easily understood by individuals with cognitive
32 disabilities would be useful for IPV screening in individuals with both physical and cognitive
33 disabilities, but currently, no such tool is commonly used⁶; and

34
35 Whereas, accurate identification of IPV in people with disabilities through the use of disability-
36 specific screening tools, such as the AAS-D, could help guide treatment, allow for the
37 incorporation of trauma-informed care, and ultimately decrease the morbidity associated with
38 IPV in this population⁶; therefore be it

39
40 RESOLVED, that our AMA advocate for increased research on the prevalence of intimate
41 partner violence (IPV) in people with disabilities and the unique IPV-related issues faced by
42 people with disabilities; and be it further
43
44 RESOLVED, that our AMA advocated for increased research on the efficacy of population-
45 specific intimate partner violence (IPV) screening tools that address the specific manifestations
46 of abuse faced by people with disabilities.

Fiscal Note: (Assigned by HOD)

Received: XX/XX/2024

REFERENCES

1. Preventing Intimate Partner Violence |Violence Prevention Injury Center|CDC. www.cdc.gov. Published February 23, 2021. <https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/intimatepartnerviolence/fastfact.html#:~:text=IPV%20is%20common.&text=Data%20from%20CDC%27s%20National%20Intimate>
2. Ludici, A., Antonello, A. & Turchi, G. Intimate Partner Violence Against Disabled Persons: Clinical and Health Impact, Intersections, Issues and Intervention Strategies. *Sexuality & Culture* 23, 684–704 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12119-018-9570-y>
3. Muster C. The Silenced Voices of Hidden Survivors: Addressing intimate partner violence among women with disabilities through a combined theoretical approach. *Affilia*. 2020;36(2):156-166. doi:10.1177/0886109920944555
4. Breiding MJ, Armour BS. The association between disability and intimate partner violence in the United States. *Annals of Epidemiology*. 2015;25(6):455-457. doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2015.03.017
5. Signore C. Reproductive and sexual health for women with disabilities. In: Miles-Cohen SE, Signore C, eds. *Eliminating inequities for women with disabilities: An agenda for health and wellness*. American Psychological Association; 2016:93-113. doi:10.1037/14943-006
6. Curry, M. A., Powers, L. E., & Oschwald, M. Development of an Abuse Screening Tool for Women with Disabilities. *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, 2004;8(4), 123–141. https://doi.org/10.1300/J146v08n04_06
7. Alhusen JL, Bloom T, Anderson J, Hughes RB. Intimate partner violence, reproductive coercion, and unintended pregnancy in women with disabilities. *Disabil Health J*. 2020;13(2):100849. doi:10.1016/j.dhjo.2019.100849
8. McFarlane J, Hughes RB, Nosek MA, Groff JY, Swedlund N, Dolan Mullen P. Abuse Assessment Screen-Disability (AAS-D): Measuring Frequency, Type, and Perpetrator of Abuse toward Women with Physical Disabilities. *Journal of Women's Health & Gender-Based Medicine*. 2001;10(9):861-866. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1089/152460901753285750>

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Family and Intimate Partner Violence H-515.965

(1) Our AMA believes that all forms of family and intimate partner violence (IPV) are major public health issues and urges the profession, both individually and collectively, to work with other interested parties to prevent such violence and to address the needs of survivors. Physicians have a major role in lessening the prevalence, scope and severity of child maltreatment, intimate partner violence, and elder abuse, all of which fall under the rubric of family violence. To support physicians in practice, our AMA will continue to campaign against family violence and remains open to working with all interested parties to address violence in US society. [...] (3) The prevalence of family violence is sufficiently high and its ongoing character is such that physicians, particularly physicians providing primary care, will encounter survivors on a regular basis. Persons in clinical settings are more likely to have experienced intimate partner and family violence than non-clinical populations. Thus, to improve clinical services as well as the public health, our AMA encourages physicians to: (a) Routinely inquire about the family violence histories of their patients as this knowledge is essential for effective diagnosis and care; [...]

Improving Screening and Treatment Guidelines for Intimate Partner Violence (IPV) Against Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer/Questioning, and Other Individuals (LGBTQ) D-515.980

Our AMA will: 1. [...] (4) encourage research on intimate partner violence in the LGBTQ community to include studies on the prevalence, the accuracy of screening tools, effectiveness of early detection and interventions, as well as the benefits and harms of screening; and (5) encourage the dissemination of research to educate physicians and the community regarding the prevalence of IPV in the LGBTQ population, the accuracy of screening tools, effectiveness of early detection and interventions, as well as the benefits and harms of screening. (Modify HOD policy) 2. Our AMA encourages research on intimate partner violence in the LGBTQ community to include studies on the prevalence, the accuracy of screening tools, effectiveness of early detection and interventions, as well as the benefits and harms of screening. (New HOD policy)

Medical Care of Persons with Disabilities H-90.968

1. Our AMA encourages: (a) clinicians to learn and appreciate variable presentations of complex functioning profiles in all persons with disabilities including but not limited to physical, sensory, developmental, intellectual, learning, and psychiatric disabilities and chronic illnesses; [...] (d) education of physicians on how to provide and/or advocate for developmentally appropriate and accessible medical, social and living support for patients with disabilities so as to improve health outcomes; [...] 3. Our AMA entreats health care professionals, parents, and others participating in decision-making to be guided by the following principles: [...] Our AMA advocates for the highest quality medical care for persons with profound disabilities; [...]