

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION WOMEN PHYSICIANS SECTION

Resolution: (Assigned by HOD)
(I-24)

Introduced by: Laurie Lapp, Sham Manoranjithan, Sara Kazyak, Sarah Costello

Subject: Addressing Gender-Based Pricing Disparities

Referred to: Reference Committee (Assigned by HOD)

- 1 Whereas, up to 80% of consumer-based products are segmented by gender, with female
- 2 targeted products costing up to 7% more than male targeted products¹; and
- 3
- 4 Whereas, a U.S. Government Accountability Office investigation on gender-based price
- 5 differences found that deodorants, shaving creams, and disposable razor blades targeted
- 6 towards female consumers had higher prices compared to similar products advertised toward
- 7 male consumers²⁻⁴; and
- 8
- 9 Whereas, a JAMA Dermatology study found that Minoxidil prescriptions were priced significantly
- 10 more per volume for female patients compared to male patients⁵; and
- 11
- 12 Whereas, facial moisturizers marketed towards female consumers are on average \$3.09 more
- 13 per ounce than moisturizers marketed towards male consumers, despite no significant
- 14 differences in the products' targeted skin-concerns⁶; and
- 15
- 16 Whereas, women spend more than 15 billion dollars annually more than men on healthcare
- 17 costs, but they also pay 18% more on average for out-of-pocket medical expenses than men
- 18 despite having similar insurance coverage⁷; and
- 19
- 20 Whereas, older women are disproportionately affected by gaps in coverage for long-term-care
- 21 services and higher out-of-pocket expenses⁸; and
- 22
- 23 Whereas, menstrual products are a necessity, and past efforts have made these products tax-
- 24 exempt in 24 states, but many women in non-tax-exempt states pay taxes ranging from 4-7% on
- 25 menstrual products⁹⁻¹¹; and
- 26
- 27 Whereas, lack of affordable access to menstrual products increases exposure to health risks
- 28 such as urinary tract infection, candidiasis, and mental health disorders such as depression and
- 29 anxiety¹²⁻¹⁴; and
- 30
- 31 Whereas, the compounding effects of increasing wage gap, gender pricing disparities, and sole
- 32 household income earners result in negative overall effects on health and quality of life
- 33 particularly for women¹⁵⁻¹⁸; and
- 34
- 35 Whereas, state and local jurisdictions have passed laws to prohibit gender-based price
- 36 discrimination, and the Pink Tax Repeal Act has been introduced in Congress^{4, 14, 19}; therefore
- 37 be it
- 38

39 RESOLVED, that our American Medical Association support federal and state efforts to
40 minimize gender-based pricing disparities in healthcare services and products.

Fiscal Note: Assigned by HOD

Date Submitted: XX/XX/2024

REFERENCES

1. Lankford K. The pink tax costs women thousands of dollars over their lifetimes. . April 28 2023. Available from: <https://money.usnews.com/money/personal-finance/taxes/articles/the-pink-tax-costs-women-thousands-of-dollars-over-their-lifetimes>.
2. Habbal HL, Lemke R, Felkey AJ, Long SM. *An economic analysis of the pink tax* ; 2020.
3. Maloney C. The pink tax how gender-based pricing hurts women's buying power. . 2016.
4. Cackley AP. Consumer protection: Gender-related price differences for goods and services. . 2019.
5. Wehner MR, Nead KT, Lipoff JB. Association between gender and drug cost for over-the-counter minoxidil. . 2017;153(8):825-826. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamadermatol.2017.1394>. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2017.1394.
6. Manatis-Lornell AJ, Marks DH, Hagiopoulos D, Okhovat J, Senna MM. Gender-related cost discrepancies in a cohort of 110 facial moisturizers. . 2019;18(6):1765-1766. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/jocd.12954>. doi: 10.1111/jocd.12954.
7. Bergh J, Sulzer K, Chock S, Buscemi B, Murray J. Hiding in plain sight: The health care gender toll. . 2023.
8. Salganicoff A. Women and medicare: An unfinished agenda. *Generations*. 2015;39(2):43-50. <https://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/asag/gen/2015/00000039/00000002/art00008>.
9. Why is the US "tampon tax" so hated? . September 14 2016. Available from: <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-us-canada-37365286#:~:text=Necessities%20such%20as%20food%20and,are%20not%20considered%20a%20luxury>.
10. Tampon tax . Alliance for Period Supplies Web site. <https://allianceforperiodsupplies.org/tampon-tax/>.
11. Alisha Haridasani Gupta. Texas is the latest state to drop the 'Tampon tax'. *NYTimes.com Feed*. Sep 1, 2023. Available from: <https://global.factiva.com/en/du/article.asp?accessionno=NYTFFeed020230901ej91001ry>.
12. U by Kotex period poverty awareness week.
13. Jaafar H, Ismail SY, Azzeri A. Period poverty: A neglected public health issue. . 2023;44(4):183-188. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37189262>. doi: 10.4082/kifm.22.0206.
14. Rohatgi A, Dash S. Period poverty and mental health of menstruators during COVID-19 pandemic: Lessons and implications for the future. . 2023;4:1128169. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36937043>. doi: 10.3389/fqwh.2023.1128169.
15. Yearby R. When equal pay is not enough: The influence of employment discrimination on health disparities. *Public Health Rep*. 2019;134(4):447-450. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6598139/>. Accessed Mar 31, 2024. doi: 10.1177/0033354919847743.
16. Southwick R. Women earn less than men, and their health suffers due to the pay gap. OncLive Web site. <https://www.chiefhealthcareexecutive.com/view/women-earn-less-than-men-and-their-health-suffers-due-to-the-pay-gap>. Updated 2022. Accessed Mar 31, 2024.
17. Costa T, Nguyen T(T. The gender pay gap and its effect on women's retirement savings | U.S. GAO. Office, U. S. Government Accountability Web site. <https://www.gao.gov/blog/gender-pay-gap-and-its-effect-womens-retirement-savings>. Updated 2021. Accessed Mar 31, 2024.
18. Adhikari J, Milli J, Buchanan MJ. The economic, educational, and health-related costs of being a woman. . 2022.
19. Governor Cuomo reminds new Yorkers "pink tax" ban goes into effect today. *States News Service*. Sep 30, 2020. Available from: <https://dos.ny.gov/news/former-governor-cuomo-reminds-new-yorkers-pink-tax-ban-goes-effect-today>.

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Considering Feminine Hygiene Products as Medical Necessities H-525.974

Our AMA: (1) encourages the Internal Revenue Service to classify feminine hygiene products as medical necessities; (2) will work with federal, state, and specialty medical societies to advocate for the removal of barriers to feminine hygiene products in state and local prisons and correctional institutions to ensure incarcerated women be provided free of charge, the appropriate type and quantity of feminine hygiene products including tampons for their needs; and (3) encourages the American National Standards Institute, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, and other relevant stakeholders to establish and enforce a standard of practice for providing free, readily available menstrual care products to meet the needs of workers. [Res. 218, A-18; Modified: Res. 209, I-21]

Tax Exemptions for Feminine Hygiene Products H-270.953

Our AMA supports legislation to remove all sales tax on feminine hygiene products. [Res. 215, A-16]