



2024 AMA Research Challenge and Poster Showcase Agenda

Walt Disney World Swan and Dolphin Resort
Atlantic C (Dolphin Lower-Level)

Friday, November 8		
2 p.m. - 3 p.m.	Participant check-in and poster set-up	Atlantic C—Foyer
3 p.m. - 5 p.m.	AMA Research Challenge and Poster Showcase Reception	Atlantic C
3 p.m. - 3:10 p.m.	Welcome Remarks <ul style="list-style-type: none">Bruce Scott, MD—179th President of the American Medical Association	Atlantic C
3:10 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.	Research Roundtables – <i>featuring AMA’s top research experts!</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Meet the judges: Discover what judges look for in a poster, and how to make your poster stand out.<ul style="list-style-type: none">Frederick Chen, MD, MPH, chief health and science officer, American Medical AssociationSanjay Desai, MD, chief academic officer, American Medical AssociationMeet the advisors: Our Research Challenge advisors share tips on getting your research published and offer insights on emerging topics.<ul style="list-style-type: none">Ricardo Correa, MD, EdDCharles Lopresto, DOMeet the winner: Learn what it takes to produce winning research, from last year’s AMA Research Challenge winner.<ul style="list-style-type: none">Jesse Kirkpatrick, PhD, 2023 AMA Research Challenge winner	Atlantic C
5 p.m.	Event concludes	Atlantic C

Learn more about today’s poster halls:

- Research Challenge Semifinals:** The top scored 50 posters from the 2024 AMA Research Challenge semifinals will be displayed for event attendees to review the research and submit scores to help determine the top 5 that will advance to the finals round. For a fun, interactive experience, members can scan the QR on each poster and cast their score!
- Research Challenge Showcase:** 60 medical students, residents, and international medical graduates featured in the 2024 AMA Research Challenge poster symposium will present their work.
- Poster Showcase:** 150+ medical students with research on a variety of topics will present their poster.
- Sections Showcase:** Speak with members from the 12 AMA interest-based groups that offer the opportunity to shape AMA policy, increase relevant knowledge and skills, and interact with peers with similar interests or backgrounds

Access the AMA Research Challenge Virtual Semifinals and Symposium

Happening now through Nov. 9:

The 2024 AMA Research Challenge virtual poster symposium and semifinals runs through November 9. Explore research in a variety of topics and specialties, and score posters to help decide the five finalists who will compete for a \$10,000 grand prize.

Learn more at ama-assn.org/research-challenge

Poster and presentation scoring criteria

The AMA recommends the following criteria to be used for rating posters and presentations in the semifinals on an overall scale of 1 – 5 (1 = marked low quality, 5 = extraordinarily high quality):

Organization/visual presentation of poster

5 rating

- The content was clearly presented, and easy to follow in the absence of the presenter. Grammar and syntax are correct.

4 rating

- The content was clearly presented, and some labels were used to improve understanding. Grammar and syntax are correct.

3 rating

- Appropriate content was provided, but not organized well. Minor grammatical errors.

2 rating

- The content provided is limited and does not improve understanding of the project.

1 rating

- The content is poorly laid out, and the text is difficult to read.

Verbal presentation

5 rating

- The presenter spoke naturally with enthusiasm, and demonstrated strong knowledge of the project. Presentation was rich and informative, and complied with the 2 minute time requirement.

4 rating

- The presenter demonstrated strong knowledge of the project. Presentation was limited to or barely exceeded 2 minutes.

3 rating

- The presenter demonstrated some knowledge of the project. Presentation exceeds 2 minutes.

2 rating

- The presenter demonstrated poor knowledge of the project. Presentation significantly exceeds 2 minutes.

1 rating

- The presenter spoke in unclear tones and maintained little to no visual contact.

Scientific Value

5 rating

- The research question/objective was clearly stated and was directly related to the provided background information.
- The results/anticipated results were substantial and sufficiently addressed the research question/objective.

4 rating

- The research question/objective was clearly stated and was directly related to the provided background information.
- There was a clear presentation of results/anticipated results.

3 rating

- The research question/objective was clearly stated, but was not directly related to the provided background information.
- The results/anticipated results were discussed, but presentation of data was not clear.

2 rating

- The research question/objective was not clearly stated or was not directly related to the provided background information.
- There was some discussion of the results/anticipated results.

1 rating

- The research question/objective was missing or was not supported with background information.
- There was no discussion of the results/anticipated results.

Notes: